

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

GEORGE J. PROAKIS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CHAIRMAN
RICHARD ROSSETTI, CLERK
DANIELLE EVANS
ELAINE SEVERINO
JOSH SAFDIE
ANNE BROCKELMAN, (ALT.)

Case #: ZBA 2018-124 Site: 15 Mossland Street

Date of Decision: December 12, 2018

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u>

Date Filed with City Clerk: December 26, 2018

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: Mike Tokatlyan, Manager of 15 Mossland Street, LLC **Applicant Address:** 100 Trade Center, Suite G-700, Woburn, MA 02801

Owner Name: Umberto A. Rossetti & Charity A. Rossetti Owner Address: 15 Mossland Street, Somerville, MA 02144

Alderman: Mark Niedergang

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant, Mike Tokatlyan, Manager of 15 Mossland Street, LLC, and Owners, Umberto A. and Charity A. Rossetti, seek Special Permits under §4.4.1 of the SZO to alter a non-conforming property by creating an upward extension of the non-conforming left side yard setback. Parking relief under Article 9 of the SZO. RB zone. Ward 5.

Zoning District/Ward:RB zone. Ward 5.Zoning Approval Sought:SZO §4.4.1, Article 9Date of Application:September 13, 2018Date of Decision:December 12, 2018December 12, 2018

<u>Vote:</u> 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2018-124 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals in the Aldermanic Chambers at Somerville City Hall on December 12, 2018. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. On December 12, 2018 the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.



I.DESCRIPTION:

The Applicant proposes increasing the number of units on the site from two to three, with one on-site parking space proposed for each unit. The height of the building will increase from 24'1" to 33'9". In addition to a gut-renovation of the interior, the façade will be re-skinned and re-trimmed with additional architectural details (such as a right elevation oriel) added. The site will be re-landscaped. The structure will grow wider on the right elevation as an existing single-story addition and the current open space in front of it are absorbed into the renovated structure. Two shed dormers measuring 50% or less of the roof plane to which they are attached will be added to the right and left elevations. A first-story open porch is proposed along the left side of the renovated structure, where the left and rear facades join.

II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1 and Article 9):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §4.4.1 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §4.4.1 in detail.

1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

The Board finds that, generally, the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §4.4.1 and 5.1.4 of the SZO.

2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

Regarding §4.4.1 of the SZO

Section 4.4.1 states that "[l]awfully existing nonconforming structures other than one- and two-family dwellings may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered only by special permit authorized by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of Article 5. The SPGA must find that such extension, enlargement, renovation or alteration is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming building. In making the finding that the enlargement, extension, renovation or alteration will not be substantially more detrimental, the SPGA may consider, without limitation, impacts upon the following: traffic volumes, traffic congestion, adequacy of municipal water supply and sewer capacity, noise, odor, scale, on-street parking, shading, visual effects and neighborhood character."

Left side yard setback

The Board acknowledges that the upward extension of the non-conforming left elevation of the structure will bring additional visual massing to this side of the property. The inclusion of a rear porch along the left elevation will provide residents of this unit with outdoor space and a covered access area to enter the unit at the rear. This structure and the left abutting property at 17 Mossland are both non-conforming with regard to their proximity to property lines.

The Board may want to consider reducing the size of the proposed porch such that it results only in enough covered space to provide protection to unit residents as they enter and exit the unit from this location.

Regarding Article 9 of the SZO

Current conditions present one (1) legal parking space on the site. Proposed conditions present three (3) parking spaces on-site. The existing single-family structure presents six (6) bedrooms, equating to a parking requirement of two (2.0) spaces. The parking analysis for existing and proposed conditions, shown in the table and formula below, result in the need for 1.0 space of relief.



Page 3

Date: December 26, 2018 Case #: ZBA 2018-124 Site: 15 Mossland Street

Dwelling Area	Existing BDR	Parking Req.	Dwelling Area	Proposed BDR	Parking Req.
Unit 1	6	2.0	Unit 1	3	2.0
Unit 2	n/a	n/a	Unit 2	2	1.5
Unit 3	n/a	n/a	Unit 3	2	1.5

Total: 2.0 Total: 5.0

Formula:

new parking requirement – old parking requirement = # of new spaces

5.0 spaces - 2.0 spaces = 3.0 spaces

However, §9.5.2.a of the SZO states the following:

a. Existing 1 and 2 family residences converting to 2 or 3 family residences shall provide one (1) parking space per additional (e.g. newly created) dwelling unit. Also note that the provisions of Section 9.4 as to nonconformity with respect to parking are likely to apply in many instances.

EXAMPLE: A single family home is converting to a 2 family residence. One (1) space is required for each new dwelling unit created in the conversion. If the single-family home is converting to a 3 family residence, then two (2) parking spaces are required for the conversion — one (1) for each new unit.

Note that this is the parking required for the newly converted unit(s) and is additional parking above what is required for the existing site.

In the case of 15 Mossland Street, the existing lot is non-conforming with respect to parking. The lot should be providing two (2.0) legal parking spaces but can only provide one, and is, therefore, deficient by one parking space.

According to §9.5.2.a of the SZO, when a single family converts to a two or three family structure, the Applicant is required to provide only one parking space per additional unit. Three on-site parking spaces would be provided under proposed conditions. There should be a total of four parking spaces due to the requirements of the existing conditions (two spaces needed). There is not sufficient space on the lot for four legal parking spaces. Therefore, relief for one (1.0) parking space is needed.

Additional determinations

In addition to the considerations discussed above, the Board does not anticipate that this proposal will increase noises or odors in this neighborhood. Regular construction-related noise can be expected on this site as the project is underway. However, this uptick in noises will be temporary in nature. The Board has conditioned this recommendation to further restrict the construction hours on the site to accommodate some neighborhood concerns. Lastly, the Board anticipates that any odors associated with this project will be construction-related and, post-construction, will be in keeping with those typically experienced by residents living in close proximity to each other in an urban setting.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The Board finds that the proposal is consistent with the purposes of the RB zone which are: "... [t]o establish and preserve medium density neighborhoods of one-, two- and three-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."



4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The Board is cognizant of the fact that the height of the building will increase nearly 10 feet from where it is today. That said, this structure is the anomaly in the immediate area. This property is surrounded by 2 ½-foot gable-fronted structures along both sides of Mossland, one two-story Mansard, triple-deckers, a multi-unit, and a 3-story Mansard as the street approaches the intersection with Somerville Avenue. Creating a 2 ½-story gable-fronted structure is consistent with the character of the neighborhood.

In order to create a successful 2 ½-story structure from a 2-story structure, the Board worked with the Applicant team to alter the roof pitch and slope, knee-wall height, fenestration, window and oriel placement so that the proposed structure would be proportionate in appearance.

5. Housing Impact: Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.

The proposal will not add to the existing stock of affordable housing.

6. SomerVision:

The proposal will increase the number of available units in the City by two and will bring much-needed visual improvements to both the structure and the parcel.

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Josh Safdie, Danielle Evans, and Anne Brockelman. Upon making the above findings, Richard Rossetti made a motion to approve the request for a Special Permit. Josh Safdie seconded the motion. The Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request **WITH CONDITIONS**. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is to increase the number of units from one to three, create an upward extension of the non-conforming left side yard setback, and parking relief for one space.		BP/CO	ISD/Plng.	
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
1	September 13, 2018	Application submitted to City Clerk's office.			
1	December 4, 2018	Updated information submitted to OSPCD			
	Any changes to the approved site plan or elevations that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive SPGA approval. Whether or not a change is <i>de minimis</i> in nature must be determined by the Planning Office.				
Desi	Design				



Page 5

Date: December 26, 2018 Case #: ZBA 2018-124 Site: 15 Mossland Street

1	All materials, including, but not limited to windows, exterior finishes, siding, and similar shall be submitted to, reviewed and approved by Planning Staff prior to the issuance of a building permit.	BP	ISD/Plng
Des	ign		
2	All materials, including, but not limited to windows, exterior finishes, siding, and similar shall be submitted to, reviewed and approved by Planning Staff prior to the issuance of a building permit.	BP	ISD/Plng
Con	struction Impacts	1	
3	The Applicant shall, at his expense, replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc.) and the entire sidewalk immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.	СО	DPW
4	All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.	During Construction	T&P/ISD
5	The name(s) and contact information of all entities working on the site shall be posted in an area easily-visible from the public way for the passing public to see.	During Construction	ISD
6	Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the Applicant shall submit full engineering plans to the Engineering Department for their review and approval.	BP	ISD/Plng/E ng.
7	The applicant must comply with the "Policy for new connections to and modifications to existing connections to the municipal sewer and drainage system stormwater management and infiltration/inflow mitigation." The Applicant shall work with Engineering to meet this condition and provide the required fees/mitigation.	BP	Engineerin g/ISD
8	Construction hours shall be limited to 7:30am – 5:00pm Monday through Friday. No holiday or weekend construction or construction –related work shall occur at the project site.	During construction	Traffic & parking
9	As a courtesy to the neighborhood, there shall be no idling of vehicles or congregating of project-related personnel at the site prior to or after the hours noted above. There shall be no music played outside on the site out of respect to the neighborhood.		
10	The Applicant shall provide a Construction Traffic Management plan to the Traffic and Parking Department for their review and approval prior to the issuance of a building permit.	BP	Traffic & parking
Pub	lic Safety		



	TTI 1 1' . O 1 11 1 T' D '		LDD
11	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention	CO	FP
	Bureau's requirements.		
12		CO	Fire
	All smoke detectors shall be hard-wired.		Prevention
			/ ISD
		CO	Fire
13	The building shall be sprinkled		Prevention/
			ISD
1.4	All exterior lighting on the site shall be downcast and shall	CO/perpetua	ISD
14	not cast light onto surrounding properties in any fashion.	1	
	In accordance with City of Somerville ordinances, no grills,	CO/Perpetua	ISD/PlngF
	barbeques, chimineas or the like shall be allowed on decks	1	P
	and porches. These requirements shall be written into any	-	
15	rental agreements or condo documents. Proof of the		
	inclusion of this language shall be shown to Planning Staff		
	prior to the issuance of a CO.		
Site	1		
Site	All bituminous material and cement shall be removed from	СО	FP
		(0	FP
16	the site. Pervious material such as permeable pavers, brick,		
	pea stone and the like, shall be installed for all walkways,		
	driving areas and parking areas.	22	
17	All materials to be used for all hardscaping and landscaping	BP	
	on the site shall first be submitted to Planning Staff for their		
	review and approval prior to ordering and installation.		
Fina	al Sign-Off		
	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five	Final sign	Plng.
18	working days in advance of a request for a final inspection	off	
	by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was		
	constructed in accordance with the plans and information		
	submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.		
	11	1	



Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Chairman</i> Richard Rossetti, <i>Clerk</i> Danielle Evans Josh Safdie Anne Brockelman
Attest, by the Administrative Assistant:	Monique Baldwin

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed of	on	in the Office of the City Clerk,
and twenty days have elapsed, and		
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN		
there have been no appeals filed in the Offic	e of the City Clerk, or	
any appeals that were filed have been finally	dismissed or denied.	
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN		
there have been no appeals filed in the Offic	e of the City Clerk, or	
there has been an appeal filed.	·	
a: ,		ъ.
Signed	City Clerk	Date

